

**The following is a verbatim transcript of the Ordinance, Rules & Claims Committee meeting, pertaining to the zoning code, held April 7, 2003.**

Mr. Snyder: ... Next on the agenda, Ordinance, Rules and Claims - Chairman Osborne.

Mr. Osborne: Yes, thank you Mr. President. I'm going to defer to the Vice Chairperson on this, who has taken ownership of this zoning. I understand there's some clerical corrections, but other than that there's no substantial concerns. I'll turn it over to Mrs. Magel.

Mrs. Magel: Clarification, I have not taken ownership, I just did my duty as a member of council on committee and actually looked at the book. I understand that you're the chairperson and I give you that respect. This is the new zoning book and I would like - the first thing I would actually like to say is thank you very much to Mary Louise Bittle. I think some of you saw I painfully could not hold the old one. This one is now double sided, double bounded and it's cardboard, not that heaviness, and it's very workable and that's probably why I could get through this sanely. I found very many differences from when it up - from when we had it, to when it come, to when it came back down. However, most of it I feel was clean up or changing wording - I - it - I don't think it changed the content of this. So with that in mind, I came up with a - some revisions to the March, 2003 Zoning Ordinance. That's this book, the one we just got. And I must tell you these are very very minor. Six of them, as this council painfully knows, we deleted the PRD. There are six references to the PRD. Does council want me to go through - go through these or...

Mr. Lindower: No.

Mrs. Magel: No.

Mr. McLaughlin: If you have a list you can provide us later on with them...

Mrs. Magel: Okay.

Mr. Foltz: These are ...

Mrs. Kiesling: We're just going to delete.

Mr. Foltz: Council Member Magel, these are items that need to be stricken from the book or changed?

Mrs. Magel: If it has reference to the PRD it needs deleted.

Mr. Foltz: I'd like to go through it right now and just correct our copies...

Mrs. Magel: Let's go through it.

Mr. Foltz: Let's get this going.

Mrs. Magel: Page 47 - 3 - 1133.08(f)(3), Ownership and Maintenance. This homeowners and association, developer, similar legal entities shall be submitted to the North Canton Law Director for review and approval. That was for the PRD. Naturally it's not the homeowners. This was specifically written for the PRD. To prove it to you it says, Section 1133.11(p) - do you see that, that's PRD - 1133 is PRD. So we have to - this - that needs to be stricken from the book.

Unidentified: All three?

Mr. Foltz: Just take Section 3 out.

Mrs. Magel: All 3.

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mr. Snyder: Now any of these redactions Chairman - or Vice Chairman Magel, are just simply again, may - I understand are related to the initial PRD concept. Correct?

Mrs. Magel: There's six of them.

Mr. Snyder: Okay.

Mr. Lindower: Reference to...

Mr. Osborne: What are you talking about, all 3?

Mrs. Kiesling: Just all of number 3.

Mr. Snyder: All of number 3.

Mr. Osborne: Okay.

Mrs. Magel: Okay, page 95. Do you see where it says home occupations, 1131.09? If you look it up you'll go crazy because that says P and I. So it's a - that is a typo, it needs to be now said 1133.09 - typographical error.

Mr. Osborne: They ought to put you on D.B. Hartt's staff.

Mrs. Magel: Thank you. That - I swear I read it that many times. 108 - 1145.07(q) - why can't I find it?

Mrs. Kiesling: Well it's on 107 - it starts on 107 and then...

Mr. Foltz: (q) is on 107.

Mrs. Kiesling: Right.

Mrs. Magel: Okay. Oh, oh my. This is what we have to talk about. This number - you see the density number 2 A and B? That was taken out of the book and somehow...

Mrs. Kiesling: Right.

Mrs. Magel: put back in that book and it shouldn't of been. We never agreed to have that put back in that book. And I have the deletion for you, I ripped it out just to prove to you there was no way that was supposed to be put back in this book. And I would like it deleted, just like it was supposed to be.

Mr. Foltz: Entire Section 2 on density?

Mrs. Magel: Yes and I'll tell you why. Because that's exactly the same as that senior development, it's just worded a little differently. That one upset me. That shouldn't of come back.

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mrs. Magel: That needs deleted. That is the exact wording for the senior development only a little twist. And I can assure you it was deleted in the last copy and somehow inserted back in. Certainly without the council's ...

Mr. Osborne: Well I took all the changes actually just ... to Mr. Hemminger, the changes. He relayed the changes to D.B. Hartt.

Mrs. Magel: Right.

Mr. Osborne: So I've had no input at all.

Mrs. Magel: Okay. Well I wasn't accusing you, I... 185 - I do thank council for bringing this book - this should just clear the whole thing up then tonight. 1175.02(a) - do you see the word planned residential development? It has to go that (a) has to go, the whole thing. It's what it refers to. Page 186 - 1175.02(b)(1) - Do you see where it says planned residential...

Mr. Foltz: Yes.

Mrs. Magel: development - delete.

Mr. Osborne: The "p" word.

Mrs. Magel: Okay, we'll say that now. This proves to you I don't have a life. 1187 - 1175.05(f) - Oh ...

Mr. Foltz: Where were you at 1187 now?

Mrs. Magel: Yea.

Mrs. Kiesling: 117...

Mrs. Magel: 1187, that's the page.

Mrs. Kiesling: No, 11 - 187 is the page.

Mr. Osborne: 187.

Mr. Foltz: 187, okay.

Mr. McLaughlin: Number (f).

Mrs. Magel: Yea, 1175.05 (f)...

Mr. Foltz: You ...inaudible... to strike that.

Mrs. Magel: It says common open space...

Mr. Foltz: Yea.

Mrs. Magel: for the planned - for the "p" word.

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mr. Osborne: Which number is that?

Mrs. Magel: (f).

Mr. Osborne: I got you.

Mrs. Magel: Got it? Delete. See this is just in general what it's all about. 188...

Mr. Osborne: We ought to pass a resolution in this town that we never speak that word.

Mrs. Magel: I vote yes. 188 - 1175.06(c)(3)...

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mrs. Magel: common open space required for you know what, delete. Page 189 - you know you guys could of helped me out with this and we could of sectioned it out or something - page 189...

Mr. Foltz: Yea.

Mrs. Kiesling: Inaudible...

Mrs. Magel: 1175.06 (g), okay this one's a little different, it refers to the word open space, common open space, do you see that? No where do we have that defined. And I spoke with Marcia, she remembers we were supposed to define open space.

Mrs. Kiesling: Yea we did, even though we knew it was in our...

Mr. Osborne: It's defined in our subdivision regulations.

Mrs. Kiesling: subdivision...

Mrs. Magel: Yes, but it's in our zoning book.

Mrs. Kiesling: But then we did remember to...

Mr. Osborne: Well our one set of public regulations can refer and reference another set of regulations.

Mrs. Magel: No.

Mrs. Kiesling: Inaudible...

Mrs. Magel: Yea. I have this definition, I gave it to you already. Now we either include it or delete this, it's one or the other so we don't have undefined things roaming around.

Mr. Foltz: Give me where you're at again.

Mr. Lindower: 1175.06(g).

Mrs. Kiesling: Well it'd back in the - we'll have to add a definition in the front.

Mr. Osborne: Page 189, (g).

Mr. Foltz: 1175.06(g)?

Mr. Osborne: 189, item (g).

Mr. Snyder: Mrs. Magel would you read into the record what your proposal of the change is please?

Mrs. Magel: For the definition of common open space?

Mr. Snyder: Please.

Mrs. Magel: Open space shall include land usable and accessible as a public park in the neighborhood being developed. Open space shall not include the following: Land within public right of ways, any land within 30 feet of the front or side or rear of any building, public utility easements and right of ways, detention and dry retention ponds, wetlands or any unusable space for development. Remember we agreed on that?

Mr. Foltz: Right.

Mrs. Kiesling: We did talk about them.

Mrs. Magel: Okay, open space may include structures or facilities intended for common recreational use such as pools, clubhouses, picnic shelters, play equipment and similar features.

Mr. Snyder: I think it's incumbent that you include that in the actual book.

Mrs. Magel: Thank you.

Mrs. Kiesling: As a definition.

Mr. Osborne: I might add though that to do that if you do that...

Mrs. Magel: Inaudible... shorten this.

Mr. Osborne: if you do that you may end up creating a conflict with our subdivision regulations. So I would defer to Gene Hemminger and then see if this creates any kind of conflicts.

Mrs. Magel: I'll ask him. Okay, well then the next one I don't have to worry about because it referred to open space. So we took care of that already. The next one I don't have to worry about because ...inaudible...

Mr. Osborne: Now what page was that on just in case...

Mrs. Magel: Okay, it would be 190, 1175.09(e), that refers to open space, now it will be defined. The other one Chuck is 192 - page 192, 1175.13(a)(2). Okay, so 194...

Mr. Lindower: I'm running out of space ...inaudible...

Mrs. Magel: 1175.18 and 1175.17, refers to, you see the third line down, planned residential development? That needs deleted.

Mr. Foltz: Just planned residential development, the rest of the paragraph stays?

Mrs. Magel: No, the whole thing goes. It's that homeowners association again.

Mrs. Kiesling: But don't we have a condominium association up on ...inaudible...

Mr. Foltz: Yea, isn't there homeowners associations at ...

Mrs. Magel: Inaudible...

Mr. McLaughlin: Yea, you've got them in Ward 3 too. You've got them...

Mr. Foltz: Yea, Monticello.

Mrs. Magel: Alright then...

Mr. McLaughlin: You've got them in Ward 3.

Mr. Lindower: There's a bunch of them.

Mr. Foltz: See I don't want to exclude that if they're already in place.

Mrs. Kiesling: Can't include all of them.

Mrs. Magel: Alright, just take out the PRD then.

Mr. Snyder: I - presently our subdivision regulations mirror the state law relative to homeowners associations. We've never - we have no condominium zoning...

Mr. Foltz: Right.

Mr. Snyder: in the city per say. But we do require them and Roy I think you'll confirm that ...inaudible... the state requires certain amounts of participation by homeowners and escrows and so forth and that's written in our subdivision regs.

Mrs. Magel: So what are you saying?

Mr. Snyder: Well you can reference out the PRD, but...

Mrs. Magel: Okay, I'll do that.

Mr. Snyder: you can't reference out the homeowners association.

Mr. Foltz: No.

Mrs. Magel: Okay.

Mr. Snyder: because there's a state law that requires that.

Mrs. Magel: Sounds good. The other thing, 1175.18, it says in accordance with the laws of the State of Ohio. I was told I needed to say which Ohio revised code. Appeals to the Court of Common Pleas, Stark County, in accordance with - and now we're supposed to say Ohio revised...

Mrs. Kiesling: Revised code something...

Mrs. Magel: Right.

Mr. Foltz: The Ohio Revised Code of the State of Ohio.

Mrs. Magel: Yea, well you say Ohio Revised Code number....

Mr. Foltz: of the state...

Mrs. Magel: whatever the number is.

Mr. Snyder: Yea, ORC and then...inaudible...

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mrs. Magel: Yea, ORC number, whatever it is. Alright. Page 204 and 205. 204, 1179.09(c)(1), the same thing with 1179.09(c)(2), there's no limits to the variance. So I looked it up in the back and with the other and it's - to make it fit into what we do with the - at front of the book it should say limit to one acre or less. That's what we did with - what was that? You know those charts we had...

Mr. Snyder: Yes.

Mrs. Magel: In the front. Okay. Page 1181.08, this is it, page 211 - 1181.08(b), okay that needs to read that that's not how we've - this is for a vote for council and that's not how we do it. We do it like page 199. 1177.09 - okay do you see where it says required vote for adoption on both of them? They're not consistent. So the way we have been doing it is the way page 199 is worded.

Mr. Snyder: Is that in reference to either an affirmative or negative ...

Mrs. Magel: Yes.

Mr. Snyder: recommendation from planning?

Mrs. Magel: Yes.

Mr. Snyder: Affirmative requires 4 ...

Mrs. Magel: Yes.

Mr. Snyder: votes.

Mrs. Magel: Yes.

Mr. Snyder: Negative requires 2/3's votes?

Mrs. Magel: That's correct.

Mrs. Kiesling: 3/4's

Mr. Snyder 3/4's.

Mrs. Magel: Yes, it's 3/4's

Mr. Snyder: One requires 6 versus 4.

Mrs. Magel 4.

Mr. Snyder: Okay, or 5.7 or 6 I guess.

Mrs. Kiesling: Right.

Mr. McLaughlin: Can't we refer back to Section 1179.09?

Mrs. Magel: Yes. That's what we - I'm saying.

Mr. McLaughlin: Okay...

Mrs. Magel: They need to be the same and they need to read like page 199. So page 211 is in there.

Mr. Foltz: So 211 should read like 199?

Mrs. Magel: Exactly.

Mr. Foltz: So basically when City Council wants to overrule the Planning Commission's recommendation we need 6 votes. Do we just want to put 6 votes?

Mrs. Magel: No, you can't in case somebody is absent.

Mrs. Kiesling: Oh, that's true.

Mr. McLaughlin: Inaudible... this says put back to action 1179.09(d).

Mr. Snyder: 3/4's...

Mr. Foltz: Well 3/4's, how would you...

Mr. Snyder: 1.7 at 3/4's so it's...

Mr. Foltz: How would you interpret that Roy?

Mr. Batista: What does it say ... vote?

Mr. Foltz: It says when council - when City Council rejects the recommendation of the Planning Commission, then the recommendation shall be overruled by not less than 3/4's vote of the membership of Council.

Mr. Batista: It's 3/4's of the membership, that's taking the entire 7.

Mrs. Kiesling: You ...inaudible...

Mr. Foltz: If it's the entire 7 then doesn't matter if there's anybody absent or ...

Mr. Batista: Yea, absent wouldn't make a difference.

Mr. Foltz: So then we need 6, that's what we're saying.

Mrs. Magel: You want to put 6 in there?

Mr. Foltz: I think that just clarifies it.

Mr. Osborne: That's the same thing, 3/4's of the membership is 6 votes.

Mr. Foltz: So let's just put 6 down.

Mr. Osborne: So six of one ....

Mr. Foltz: If they want to change something they can change it through the Charter or whoever ...inaudible...

Mr. Lindower: It doesn't take as much space.

Mayor Rice: For those that don't do fractions very well, just put in six.

Mrs. Magel: For as many times as we've got tripped up on this, I'd like to put 6 down.



Mr. Snyder: Yea, cause I think it confuses. A veto requires 5 affirmative votes. A mayoral - to veto a mayor's ...

Mrs. Magel: Inaudible...if somebody is absent ...inaudible...

Mr. Snyder: Then you resort to politics. Pick them up, bring them over. I don't know. We've never - I don't know that we've ever vetoed anything.

Mr. McLaughlin: We ought to add a clause that a long distance phone call vote in vote.

Mr. Snyder: We've tried that. I think you have to be.

Mr. Lindower: Phone booth.

Mr. McLaughlin: Phone booth.

Mr. Snyder: I think we have to be present to vote.

Mr. McLaughlin: Yea you do, you have to be present.

Mrs. Magel: Council, what do you want?

Mr. Snyder: Let's say...

Mrs. Magel: Whatever it needs to read like...

Mr. Foltz: I say 6.

Mrs. Magel: page 199.

Mr. Snyder: 6.

Mr. Foltz: Let's just clarify it for our council and future councils.

Mr. Snyder: Inaudible... then you won't have any questions if there's ever...

Mrs. Magel: It's going to need 6 votes.

Mr. Snyder: somebody wants to deny a positive vote.

Mr. Foltz: We can - we'll go...inaudible...

Mrs. Magel: Okay. Now then I have to change page 199 as well as page ...

Mr. Snyder: 211.

Mrs. Magel: 211 and then I'll - they'll both read 6.

Mr. Snyder: That's fine.

Mrs. Magel: Okay? I'm done. Oh and I will add that definition.

Mr. Snyder: My only question then as a committee ... Now that's provided to us on a disk format. Is that correct? Who has the disk for that?

Mr. Osborne: Mr. Hemminger has the disk.

Mr. Snyder: Then who will - is Gene capable of making those changes?

Mr. Osborne: I'm not comfortable with that. I think D.B. Hartt needs to oversee this and make sure that we aren't getting ourselves into a bind here or...

Mr. Snyder: We're also looking at the expenses. There is - I don't there's any money left for that is there?

Mr. Lindower: Probably not.

Mr. Osborne: No, we exhausted the fifty grand...

Mr. Snyder: Plus.

Mr. Osborne: a year ago.

Mr. Snyder: Plus money we spent recently.

Mrs. Magel: This is the delete...

Mr. Osborne: You're just talking a couple hundred dollars to have her clean this up...

Mrs. Magel: So you believe me, that's the deletion.

Mr. Osborne: and pass a little expertise over these changes.

Mrs. Kiesling: Inaudible...

Mr. McLaughlin: I think with the minor changes I think we can do them in house. I mean we're talking minor changes. I think that...

Mr. Foltz: Well...

Mrs. Magel: Inaudible...

Mr. McLaughlin: rather than going out and spending more money, we've spent enough already, I think that minor changes ...

Mr. Osborne: I believe ...

Mr. McLaughlin: everybody's in agreement with we can...

Mrs. Magel: I'll tell what if goes back up to D.B. Hartt I'm not reading it for the tenth time.

Mr. Foltz: Yea.

Mrs. Magel: So then the rest of council volunteers...

Mr. Snyder: I mean all that has to be changed are those sections.

Mr. Foltz: Right.

Mrs. Magel: Absolutely.

Mr. Snyder: I mean isn't it - Jim, a question to you. Can that be changed over there at your department? Do we have the equipment to do that?

Mr. Lindower: Sure, a computer.

Mr. Benekos: What they provided us was not a ...

Mrs. Kiesling: Changeable..

Mr. Benekos: word...

Mr. Osborne: They created a PDF format, you can't change that.

Mr. Benekos: Right. It wasn't a word or a word perfect document it was a PDF...

Mr. Osborne: It's an Adobe Acrobat file. It's not my call. Mr. Hemminger has been the final authority on this. I'll let Mrs. Magel pass along her changes. I'll talk with Mr. Hemminger as well...

Mr. Snyder: I beg to differ there Mr. Chairman, it is the call of the council. Mr. Hemminger works for the administration, he does not work council. And it is - it is - lies in the fact that the council has the final say in all zoning matters. It's not Mr. Hemminger's call. Mr. Hemminger works for the city for the administration, this is a call of the council.

Mr. Osborne: Well he can render the technical expertise. I mean council can sit here and say that car will run and drive me to Akron, but if a mechanic tells you it won't start, you're not going anywhere. So...

Mr. Foltz: Let's clear this up. Do we have the capability of changing this in house?

Mrs. Kiesling: No.

Mr. Foltz: Are we saying no?

Mr. Snyder: Well that's my question, I don't know.

Mr. Lindower: Inaudible...

Mrs. Kiesling: It doesn't sound like we can.

Mr. McLaughlin: Can we get this ...inaudible...

Mr. Osborne: I also have a CD, it's in PDF format. You can't change it, it's just an image document...

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mr. Osborne: I just told you. So ...

Mrs. Magel: Get some whiteout.

Unidentified: There are ways you can do that.

Mr. Foltz: First - I'd like to say something here. I'd like to express an enormous amount of gratitude for Council Member Magel spending the time on this. This has been kind of a working novel of our zoning ordinances and she's helped author that and I appreciate her hard work and diligence. I can't say that enough. I mean for her to spend the time to read this word by word and I know she probably didn't read it once she probably read it five times.

Mr. Lindower: Spell checked it.

Mr. Foltz: And I just want to go on record with that. I know you know it just means a lot to me and should mean a lot to the rest of council and residents here that we're trying to get this as perfect as possible. There's probably going to be other changes that we're going to have to address, but hey we've come a long way with this. I'm quite pleased with it. And once again, thank you for your efforts Member Magel on this.

Mrs. Magel: Thank you.

Mr. Foltz: Whatever we need to do here I don't care, but let's get it done. Kathy, do you have the pages marked that we don't have to read everything again? Because I know you're going to be the one to look at this again, knowing your diligence - that's fine. Or I'll look at with you or whatever.

Mrs. Kiesling: I looked at this one so I wouldn't mind looking at the next one. Whatever...

Mr. Foltz: You know but if we maybe set this down to 15 pages if we have to go back and have it done that way, then that's the way it is. You know we'll just come back and look at those 15 pages, there'd be no reason to change anything else.

Mrs. Kiesling: Right.

Mr. Snyder: Well I think our request should be couched with the fact that that was the instruction in the - originally to them that was to be changed.

Mr. McLaughlin: Right.

Mr. Snyder: Obviously they were accelerated in their efforts to get it out because they weren't ready to produce it and they did not proof what they sent us. And consequently if they're going to do it, I'm not going to repay something for the same thing we just got. Possibly the request will be couched with the fact that they owe us that much. Unless they tell us that they were forced to produce it without any form of proof ... proofing it before they sent it. I don't know, I didn't talk to the people.

Mr. McLaughlin: I kind of agree with you, Mr. Snyder, that we told them about taking out the PRD and then a few other sections in there that we wanted out and get this thing done. I think we ought to go back to them and present the changes to them and let them - hear their explanation. But I think as a - as much as we paid them I think that we should go back to them and stress that they did make a foul up on some of these things. Unless they were hurried by you know ... to get the thing out, which I doubt, but let's see what happens. But I agree 100 percent that we ought to go back to them.

Mrs. Magel: I'd like to add that my problems wasn't so much what was deleted, it was what was added in, twice to me now. I mean that's tricky for me to catch. I have to catch - you know go through everything. Remember this senior citizens wasn't in here and when it came back it was there. And here's proof, that was to be deleted and somehow it got inserted back again.

Mr. McLaughlin: Well I have to admit one thing, I'm grateful to you very much for what you've done...

Mrs. Magel: Thank you.

Mr. McLaughlin: I have to admit Doug did hit the nail on the head, had we just went ahead and approved this without even looking at it that day that somebody ran in here and said hey you know we've got to approve this thing and everything else like that. There was no way I was going to approve anything until we had a chance to look it over. So I'm very grateful that the time that you did and the effort that you put into this.

Mrs. Magel: Thank you.

Mr. Snyder: Well could they just provide us it on a disk that we could...

Mr. Benekos: I'll talk with Gene and D.B. Hartt tomorrow and see what would be a couple options -

Mr. Lindower: I'm sure...inaudible...

Mr. Benekos: way to proceed and I'll get back with you.

Mr. Snyder: Appreciate that.

Mr. Osborne: Really all I've done here is tell Mr. Hemminger to contact Mrs. Magel. She is the one championing the changes. I have just stepped back. So I don't know if anything was added it's somewhere between you and Mr. Hemminger or Mr. Hemminger and Mr. D.B. Hartt firm. And so ... Mr. Hemminger will have to talk to D.B. Hartt and I guess we'll go from there.

Mrs. Magel: Inaudible...

Mr. Osborne: Maybe we'll get this passed in our lifetimes.

Mrs. Magel: Should it go on to the next week or ...

Mr. Snyder: Yea, I mean with - I would work off the, as a committee member my suggestion would be - can go on as long as we're working off that copy that you've just put the redactions in.

Mr. Foltz: We're working off your copy Kathy.

Mrs. Kiesling: Yea, we just agreed to all of it.

Mr. Foltz: What you scratched out, that's what we're looking at.

Mr. Snyder: Is that - I say that as a committee man, I'll vote .... it from committee along for the first reading provided that ...

Mrs. Magel: It's my copy.

Mr. Snyder: Yes.

Mrs. Magel: I can't ask for more than that.

Mr. Snyder: If that's comfortable...

Mrs. Magel: That's...

Mr. Foltz: Yes, I'm comfortable with that.

Mr. Snyder: And then - by then in a couple weeks we should have an answer from the Engineering Office as what we can do and what we can't do.

Mrs. Magel: Then I would recommend it come out of committee and put it on for next week.

Mr. Snyder: That's fine then.

Mr. Osborne: Well this is exactly what we did March 10<sup>th</sup> and I've got the minutes right here. Everybody was prepared to move this along March 10<sup>th</sup> and I'll read President of Council's ...

Mr. Snyder: That's not necessary Mr. Osborne. Thank you. I don't...

Mr. Osborne: Well if you're talking two weeks that means it's going to be another three weeks before the first vote...

Mr. Snyder: I think the first vote is scheduled next Monday. Am I correct?

Mrs. Magel: Yes sir.

Mr. Osborne: But you said it would be a couple weeks before we hear back.

Mr. Snyder: Well possibly, but we have a soft copy here or a hard copy, I'm sorry, of what we're going to vote on.

Mrs. Magel: We're voting on this.

Mr. Snyder: Yes, that's correct.

Mr. Foltz: Right.

Mrs. Magel: With, with...

Mr. Snyder: and the minutes will reflect...

Mr. McLaughlin: With your corrections.

Mrs. Magel: That's correct.

Mr. Foltz: You're voting on your revised copy.

Mrs. Kiesling: Yea...

Mr. Snyder: And the minutes will reflect..

Mr. Foltz: It's that simple.

Mr. Snyder: Alright. Thank you.

Mr. Foltz: Okay.

Mr. Snyder: Chairman of parks, Mr. Foltz...